

4-8

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/364,803	BAUER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Christopher L Gilligan	2166	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Christopher L Gilligan. (3) Patrick Roche.
(2) Joseph Thomas. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 2/27/02

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 61.

Identification of prior art discussed: Luchs et al., U.S. Patent No. 4,831,526 and Pasher, Auto Web site takes off.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: _____.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview(if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Suggested a change to the claims to indicate that policy changes are made in real-time. Examiner will reconsider the references in light of the changes.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


Examiner's signature, if required